

SINTEF Energy Research Gas Technology

Address: P.O. Box 4761 Torgarden NO-7465 Trondheim **NORWAY**

Location: Sem Sælands vei 11 Trondheim **NORWAY**

<www.sintef.no/energi>

UNIFAC excess gibbs mixing rules

Contents

Memo

1 Introduction

The UNIFAC (UNIQUAC Functional-group Activity Coefficients) model [\[5\]](#page-8-0), is a group contribution model, and a further development of the UNIQUAC model [\[1\]](#page-8-1). Being a group contribution model, it accounts for molecular groups like C-H₂ and C-H₃, that can be thought upon as monomers in a polymer.

The UNIFAC excess Gibbs mixing rule have found application in the predictive SRK, PSRK [\[8\]](#page-8-2), model, and VTPR [\[3\]](#page-8-3). It is also used as the universal mixing rule (UMR) [\[17\]](#page-9-0) togther with t-mPR [\[11,](#page-8-4) [2\]](#page-8-5). The combined model is denoted UMR-PR.

2 UNIFAC model

The UNIFAC model [\[5\]](#page-8-0) is given as follows,

$$
\frac{A^{\mathcal{R}}}{RT} = \frac{A^{\mathcal{E}}}{RT} - \frac{A^{\mathcal{E}}}{RT_0} = -\sum_{i}^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}} n_i \sum_{k}^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} v_k^i Q_k (\Lambda_k - \Lambda_k^i). \tag{1}
$$

The symbols and formalism of Michelsen [\[13\]](#page-8-6) is used. $A^{E}/(RT_0)$ is the combinatorial term and is described in a later sub section. It is assumed that $A^E = G^E$.

The different symbols are defined as follows,

$$
\Lambda_k = \ln \sum_j^{\text{NG}} \Theta_j \tilde{E}_{jk},\tag{2}
$$

$$
\Lambda_k^i = \ln \sum_j^{\text{NG}} \Theta_j^i \tilde{E}_{jk},\tag{3}
$$

$$
\tilde{E}_{jk} = \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{U}_{jk}}{RT}\right),\tag{4}
$$

$$
\Theta_j = \frac{Q_j \sum_l n_l v_j^l}{\sum_l^{\text{NC}} n_l \sum_m^{\text{NG}} v_m^l Q_m},\tag{5}
$$

$$
\Theta_j^i = \frac{Q_j v_j^i}{\sum_k^N v_k^i Q_k}.
$$
\n(6)

Here Q_k is the group surface area of group k, and v_k^i is the number of groups k in molecule i. Both Q_k and v_k^i are constants. \tilde{U}_{jk} is the interaction energy per unit surface area of the $j - k$ group interaction. \tilde{U}_{jk} can be a constant, or a temperature function.

2.1 Differentials

Differentiating [1](#page-1-2) with respect to n_{α} we get,

$$
\frac{1}{RT}\frac{\partial A^{\mathcal{R}}}{\partial n_{\alpha}} = \frac{A^{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha}}{RT} = -\sum_{k}^{\mathcal{N}G} v_{k}^{\alpha} Q_{k} (\Lambda_{k} - \Lambda_{k}^{\alpha}) - \sum_{i}^{\mathcal{N}G} n_{i} \sum_{k}^{\mathcal{N}G} v_{k}^{i} Q_{k} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{k}}{\partial n_{\alpha}}.
$$
\n(7)

 $\overline{ }$

Michelsen [\[13,](#page-8-6) Chap. 5,Eq. 56] show that

$$
\sum_{i}^{NC} n_i \sum_{k}^{NG} v_k^i Q_k \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial n_\alpha} = \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^\alpha Q_j \left(\sum_{k}^{NG} \frac{\Theta_j \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\sum_{l}^{NG} \Theta_l \tilde{E}_{lk}} - 1 \right).
$$
 (8)

But since second differentials are required, it do not help much for the compositional differentials. Using

$$
\Lambda_k = \ln \sum_{j}^{NG} \Theta_j \tilde{E}_{jk} = \ln \sum_{l}^{NG} n_l \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk} - \ln \sum_{l}^{NG} n_l \sum_{m}^{NG} v_m^l Q_m, \tag{9}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\alpha}} = \frac{\sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^{\alpha} Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\sum_{l}^{NG} n_l \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}} - \frac{\sum_{m}^{NG} v_m^{\alpha} Q_m}{\sum_{l}^{NG} n_l \sum_{m}^{NG} v_m^l Q_m}.
$$
\n(10)

Differentiating [7](#page-1-3) further with respect to n_{β} we get,

$$
\frac{A_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm R}}{RT} = -\sum_{k}^{\rm NG} Q_k \left(v_k^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\beta}} + v_k^{\beta} \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\alpha}} \right) - \sum_{i}^{\rm NC} n_i \sum_{k}^{\rm NG} v_k^i Q_k \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\alpha} \partial n_{\beta}},\tag{11}
$$

$$
= -\sum_{k}^{\text{NG}} Q_k \left(v_k^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\beta}} + v_k^{\beta} \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\alpha}} \right) - \sum_{k}^{\text{NG}} \left(\sum_{i}^{\text{NG}} n_i v_k^i \right) Q_k \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k}{\partial n_{\alpha} \partial n_{\beta}}.
$$
 (12)

Differentiating Equation [10](#page-2-0) we get the second differential of Λ_k ,

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k}{\partial n_\alpha \partial n_\beta} = -\frac{\left(\sum_j^{NG} v_j^\alpha Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}\right) \left(\sum_j^{NG} v_j^\beta Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}\right)}{\left(\sum_j^{NG} n_l \sum_j^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}\right)^2} + \frac{\left(\sum_m^{NG} v_m^\alpha Q_m\right) \left(\sum_m^{NG} v_m^\beta Q_m\right)}{\left(\sum_j^{NG} n_l \sum_m^{NG} v_m^l Q_m\right)^2}.
$$
\n(13)

We immediately see that [13](#page-2-1) give a symmetric matrix of the second differentials.

Differentiating [1](#page-1-2) with respect to T we get,

$$
\frac{\partial \left(\frac{A^{\mathrm{R}}}{RT}\right)}{\partial T} = -\sum_{i}^{\mathrm{NC}} n_{i} \sum_{k}^{\mathrm{NG}} v_{k}^{i} Q_{k} \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_{k}}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial \Lambda_{k}^{i}}{\partial T}\right),\tag{14}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \left(\frac{A^R}{RT}\right)}{\partial T^2} = -\sum_i^{\text{NC}} n_i \sum_k^{\text{NG}} v_k^i Q_k \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k}{\partial T^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k^i}{\partial T^2}\right).
$$
\n(15)

Here,

$$
\frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial T} = \frac{\sum_{l}^{NC} n_l \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \frac{\partial \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\partial T}}{\sum_{l}^{NC} n_l \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}},
$$
\n(16)

$$
\frac{\partial \Lambda_k^i}{\partial T} = \frac{\sum\limits_j^{\text{NG}} Q_j v_j^i \frac{\partial \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\partial T}}{\sum\limits_j^{\text{NG}} Q_j v_j^i \tilde{E}_{jk}},\tag{17}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k}{\partial T^2} = \frac{\sum_{l}^{NC} n_l \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\partial T^2}}{\sum_{l}^{NC} n_l \sum_{j}^{NG} v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}} - \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial T}\right)^2,
$$
\n(18)

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k^i}{\partial T^2} = \frac{\sum\limits_j^{NG} Q_j v_j^i \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\partial T^2}}{\sum\limits_j^{NG} Q_j v_j^i \tilde{E}_{jk}} - \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_k^i}{\partial T}\right)^2.
$$
\n(19)

(20)

Differentiating Equation [7](#page-1-3) we get

$$
\frac{\partial \left(\frac{A_{\alpha}^{R}}{RT}\right)}{\partial T} = -\sum_{k}^{NG} v_{k}^{\alpha} Q_{k} \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_{k}}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial \Lambda_{k}^{\alpha}}{\partial T}\right) - \sum_{k}^{NG} \left(\sum_{i}^{NC} n_{i} v_{k}^{i}\right) Q_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{k}}{\partial n_{\alpha} \partial T}.
$$
\n(21)

The cross differential of Λ_k is found by differentiating Equation [10](#page-2-0) with respect to T,

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_k}{\partial n_\alpha \partial T} = \frac{\sum_j^{NG} v_j^\alpha Q_j \frac{\partial \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\partial T}}{\sum_l^{NG} n_l \sum_j v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}} - \frac{\left(\sum_j^{NG} v_j^\alpha Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}\right) \left(\sum_l^{NG} n_l \sum_j v_j^l Q_j \frac{\partial \tilde{E}_{jk}}{\partial T}\right)}{\left(\sum_l^{NG} n_l \sum_j v_j^l Q_j \tilde{E}_{jk}\right)^2}.
$$
(22)

2.2 The combinatorial term

The combinatorial term is comprised of a Flory-Huggins (FH) and a Staverman-Guggenheim (SG) contribution,

$$
G^{\text{E,comb}} = G^{\text{E,FH}} + G^{\text{E,SG}},\tag{23}
$$

$$
G^{\text{E,FH}} = \sum_{i} x_i \ln \frac{\phi_i}{x_i},\tag{24}
$$

$$
G^{\text{E,SG}} = \frac{z}{2} \sum_{i} x_i q_i \ln \frac{\theta_i}{\phi_i}.
$$
\n(25)

Where $z = 10$,

$$
\phi_i = \frac{x_i r_i}{\sum_j x_j r_j},\tag{26}
$$

$$
\theta_i = \frac{x_i q_i}{\sum_j x_j q_j}.\tag{27}
$$

(28)

 r_i and q_i are molecule paramaters and non of the parameters are temperature dependent. r_i is the molecular van der Waals volume and q_i is the molecular van der Waals surface area. They are calculated from the group paramaters as follows,

$$
r_i = \sum_{k}^{NG} v_k^i R_k,
$$
\n(29)

$$
q_i = \sum_{k}^{\text{NG}} v_k^i Q_k. \tag{30}
$$

$$
(31)
$$

2.2.1 Differentials of the Flory-Huggins combinatorial term

Writing Equation [24](#page-3-1) as a function of mole numbers, we get,

$$
G^{E,FH} = \sum_{i}^{NC} n_i \left(\ln \phi_i - \ln n_i + \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j \right),
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i}^{NC} n_i \left(\ln n_i r_i - \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j r_j - \ln n_i + \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j \right) = \sum_{i}^{NC} n_i \ln r_i - n \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j r_j + n \ln n.
$$
\n(32)

Differentiating $G^{\text{E,FH}}$ with respect to n_{α} we get,

$$
G_{\alpha}^{\text{E,FH}} = \ln r_{\alpha} - \ln \sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j r_j + \ln \sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j + 1 - \frac{r_{\alpha} \sum_{i}^{\text{NC}} n_i}{\sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j r_j},
$$
(34)

$$
= \ln r_{\alpha} - \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j r_j + \ln n + 1 - \frac{n r_{\alpha}}{\sum_{j}^{NC} n_j r_j},
$$
\n(35)

$$
= \ln \left(\frac{nr_{\alpha}}{\sum\limits_{j}^{NC} n_{j} r_{j}} \right) + 1 - \frac{nr_{\alpha}}{\sum\limits_{j}^{NC} n_{j} r_{j}}
$$
(36)

$$
= \ln\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{x_{\alpha}}\right) + 1 - \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{x_{\alpha}}
$$
\n(37)

Differentiating [35](#page-4-2) with respect to n_{β} we get,

$$
G_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{E,FH}} = -\frac{r_{\alpha} + r_{\beta}}{\sum\limits_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j r_j} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{n r_{\alpha} r_{\beta}}{\left(\sum\limits_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j r_j\right)^2}.
$$
\n(38)

2.2.2 Differentials of the Staverman-Guggenheim combinatorial term

Writing Equation [25](#page-3-2) as a function of mole numbers, we get,

$$
G^{\text{E,SG}} = \frac{z}{2} \sum_{i}^{\text{NC}} n_i q_i \left(\ln \theta_i - \ln \phi_i \right),\tag{39}
$$

$$
= \frac{z}{2} \sum_{i}^{NC} n_i q_i \left(\ln \frac{q_i}{r_i} - \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j q_j + \ln \sum_{j}^{NC} n_j r_j \right). \tag{40}
$$

Differentiating $G^{E,SG}$ with respect to n_{α} we get,

$$
G_{\alpha}^{\text{E,SG}} = \frac{z}{2} q_{\alpha} \left(\ln \frac{q_{\alpha}}{r_{\alpha}} - \ln \sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j q_j + \ln \sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j r_j - 1 + \frac{r_{\alpha} \sum_{i}^{\text{NC}} n_i q_i}{q_{\alpha} \sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_j r_j} \right), \tag{41}
$$

$$
= \frac{z}{2}q_{\alpha} \left(-\ln \left(\frac{r_{\alpha} \sum_{j}^{NC} n_{j} q_{j}}{q_{\alpha} \sum_{j}^{NC} n_{j} r_{j}} \right) - 1 + \frac{r_{\alpha} \sum_{i}^{NC} n_{i} q_{i}}{q_{\alpha} \sum_{j}^{NC} n_{j} r_{j}} \right), \qquad (42)
$$

$$
= \frac{z}{2} q_{\alpha} \left(\ln \left(\frac{\theta_{\alpha}}{\phi_{\alpha}} \right) - 1 + \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{\theta_{\alpha}} \right). \tag{43}
$$

Differentiating [41](#page-5-2) with respect to n_{β} we get,

$$
G_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{E,SG}} = \frac{z}{2} \left(-\frac{q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}}{\sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_{j}q_{j}} + \frac{q_{\alpha}r_{\beta} + q_{\beta}r_{\alpha}}{\sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_{j}r_{j}} - \frac{r_{\alpha}r_{\beta}\sum_{i}^{\text{NC}} n_{i}q_{i}}{\left(\sum_{j}^{\text{NC}} n_{j}r_{j}\right)^{2}} \right).
$$
(44)

2.2.3 Comparing to combinatorial activity coefficient of Fredenslund et al.

Fredenslund et al. [\[5\]](#page-8-0) uses the following expression for the activity combinatorial coefficient,

$$
\ln \gamma_c = \ln \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} + \frac{z}{2} q_i \ln \frac{\theta_i}{\phi_i} + l_i - \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} \sum_j^{NC} x_j l_j,
$$
\n(45)

$$
l_i = \frac{z}{2} (r_i - q_i) - (r_i - 1).
$$
 (46)

Inserting for l_i in the last term of Equation [45,](#page-5-3) we get,

$$
\frac{\phi_i}{x_i} \sum_{j}^{NC} x_j l_j = \frac{z \phi_i}{2x_i} \left(\sum_{j}^{NC} x_j r_j - \sum_{j}^{NC} x_j q_j \right) - \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} \left(\sum_{j}^{NC} x_j r_j - 1 \right),\tag{47}
$$

$$
= \frac{z}{2}\left(r_i - \frac{q_i\phi_i}{\theta_i}\right) - r_i + \frac{\phi_i}{x_i}.\tag{48}
$$

Inserting Equation [48](#page-5-4) and Equation [46](#page-5-5) into Equation [45](#page-5-3) we get,

$$
\ln \gamma_c = \ln \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} + 1 - \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} + \frac{z}{2} q_i \left(\ln \frac{\theta_i}{\phi_i} - 1 + \frac{\phi_i}{\theta_i} \right). \tag{49}
$$

We see that

$$
\ln \gamma_c = G_{\alpha}^{\text{E,FH}} + G_{\alpha}^{\text{E,SG}}.
$$
\n(50)

3 UMR-PR model

The UMR-PR model is developed by Voutsas et al [\[17\]](#page-9-0), and uses the UNIFAC mixing rules together with a volume translated Peng-Robinson EOS, t-mPR [\[2\]](#page-8-5).

UMR-PR applies the following covolume mixing rule,

$$
b = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} x_i x_j b_{ij},\tag{51}
$$

$$
b_{ij} = \left[\frac{b_i^{\frac{1}{s}} + b_j^{\frac{1}{s}}}{2}\right]^s, \tag{52}
$$

with $s = 2$.

UMR-PR ignores the Flory-Huggins contribution, Equation [24,](#page-3-1) of the combinatorial term, Equation [23.](#page-3-3)

UMR-PR uses the original temperature independent UNIFAC parameters published by Hansen et al [\[7\]](#page-8-7) and Dortmund Data Bank, Wittig et al [\[18\]](#page-9-1).

Data source: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UNIFAC> <http://www.ddbst.com/unifacga.html> <http://www.aim.env.uea.ac.uk/aim/info/UNIFACgroups.html>

The volume correction temperature differentials used in UMR is not continous. This might be a good reason not to use the model.

3.1 t-mPR model

t-mPR [\[2\]](#page-8-5) is an extension of the t-PR [\[11\]](#page-8-4) to mixtures.

The t-mPR model take the following form,

$$
P = \frac{RT}{V + t - b} - \frac{a}{(V + t)(V + t + b) + b(V + t - b)},
$$
\n(53)

where,

$$
t = t(\boldsymbol{x}, T) = \sum_{i} x_i t_i(T). \tag{54}
$$

We see that by introducing $\tilde{V} = V + t$, the relations for this equation of state can be related to the standard Peng-Robinson equation of state. The translation is slightly more complicated than the P \acute{e} eneloux [\[14\]](#page-8-8) volume shift, due to the temperature dependency, and the lack of correction to the covolume.

4 PSRK model

PSRK [\[8\]](#page-8-2) uses SRK with Mathias-Copeman α -correlation [\[12\]](#page-8-9), and a UNIFAC excess Gibbs energy model.

The zero pressure limit, Equation [55,](#page-6-2) is used when including the mixing rules into the SRK EOS. $h_{PSRK}(\beta_0) = 0.64663$ is used.

The zero pressure limit is used when including the excess Gibbs energy into the equation of state,

$$
\frac{a}{RTb} = \sum_{i} x_i \frac{a_i}{RTb_i} - \frac{1}{h(\beta_0)} \left(\sum_{i} x_i \ln \frac{b}{b_i} + \frac{G^E}{RT} \right),\tag{55}
$$

where $h(\beta_0)$ is a constant that depend on the EOS. We have $h_{PR}(\beta_0) = 0.53$. Implement terms additional to the infinite pressure terms? - Or ignore?

The linear mixing of the covolume is used in PSRK,

$$
b = \sum_{i} x_i b_i. \tag{56}
$$

Parameters: [\[10,](#page-8-10) [8,](#page-8-2) [9,](#page-8-11) [4,](#page-8-12) [6\]](#page-8-13)

5 VTPR model

The Volume-Translated-Peng-Robinson (VTPR) EOS [\[3\]](#page-8-3), uses a constant volume correction for each component. The correction in volume therefore don't depend on temperature. The Twu, Bluck, Cunningham and Coon α -correlation [\[16\]](#page-9-2) is used.

For the excess Gibbs energy, the UNIFAC model is used without the combinatorial term, Equation [23.](#page-3-3) The infinite pressure limit is used when including the activity coefficient model into the EOS.

Covolume mixing uses Equation [52](#page-6-3) with $s = 4/3$. Parameters: [\[15\]](#page-8-14)

6 The general mixing rule for the covolume

The general mixing rules for the covolume take the following form,

$$
n = n^2 b = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_i n_j b_{ij},
$$
\n(57)

$$
b_{ij}^{\frac{1}{s}} = (1 - l_{ij}) \frac{b_i^{\frac{1}{s}} + b_j^{\frac{1}{s}}}{2}.
$$
\n(58)

Where l_{ij} is assumed constant, symmetric, and have a default value of zero.

Differentiating and manipulating Equation [57,](#page-7-2) B_i and B_{ij} become,

$$
n_i = 2 \sum_j n_j b_{ij} - B,\tag{59}
$$

$$
nB_{ij} = 2b_{ij} - B_i - B_j.
$$
\n(60)

References

- [1] Denis S. Abrams and John M. Prausnitz. Statistical thermodynamics of liquid mixtures: A new expression for the excess gibbs energy of partly or completely miscible systems. AIChE Journal, 21(1):116–128, 1975.
- [2] G. Avlonitis, G. Mourikas, S. Stamataki, and D. Tassios. A generalized correlation for the interaction coefficients of nitrogen-hydrocarbon binary mixtures. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 101:53 – 68, 1994.
- [3] Eileen Collinet and Jürgen Gmehling. Prediction of phase equilibria with strong electrolytes with the help of the volume translated Peng-Robinson group contribution equation of state (VTPR). Fluid Phase Equilibria, 246(1-2):111 – 118, 2006.
- [4] K. Fischer and J. Gmehling. Further development, status and results of the PSRK method for the prediction of vapor-liquid equilibria and gas solubilities. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 121(1- $2):185 - 206, 1996.$
- [5] Aage Fredenslund, Russell L. Jones, and John M. Prausnitz. Group-contribution estimation of activity coefficients in nonideal liquid mixtures. AIChE Journal, 21(6):1086–1099, 1975.
- [6] Jörgen Gmehling, Jiding Li, and Kai Fischer. Further development of the PSRK model for the prediction of gas solubilities and vapor-liquid -equilibria at low and high pressures II. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 141(1-2):113–127, 1997.
- [7] Henrik K. Hansen, Peter Rasmussen, Aage Fredenslund, Martin Schiller, and Jürgen Gmehling. Vapor-liquid equilibria by unifac group contribution. 5. revision and extension. Industrial $\mathcal C$ Engineering Chemistry Research, 30(10):2352-2355, 1991.
- [8] T. Holderbaum and J. Gmehling. Psrk: A group contribution equation of state based on UNIFAC. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 70(2-3):251 – 265, 1991.
- [9] Sven Horstmann, Kai Fischer, and Jörgen Gmehling. PSRK group contribution equation of state: revision and extension III. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 167(2):173–186, 2000.
- [10] Sven Horstmann, Anna Jabloniec, Jörg Krafczyk, Kai Fischer, and Jürgen Gmehling". PSRK group contribution equation of state: comprehensive revision and extension iv, including critical constants and α -function parameters for 1000 components. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 227(2):157–164, 2005.
- [11] Kostis Magoulas and Dimitrios Tassios. Thermophysical properties of n-alkanes from C1 to C20 and their prediction for higher ones. Fluid Phase Equilibria, $56:119 - 140$, 1990.
- [12] Paul M. Mathias and Thomas W. Copeman. Extension of the peng-robinson equation of state to complex mixtures: Evaluation of the various forms of the local composition concept. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 13:91–108, 1983.
- [13] Michael L. Michelsen and Jørgen M. Mollerup. *Thermodynamic models: Fundamentals* & computational aspects. Tie-Line Publication, second edition, 2007.
- [14] André Péneloux, Evelyne Rauzy, and Richard Fréze. A consistent correction for Redlich-Kwong-Soave volumes. Fluid Phase Equilibria, $8(1)$: $7 - 23$, 1982.
- [15] Bastian Schmid, Andre Schedemann, and Jürgen Gmehling. Extension of the vtpr group contribution equation of state: Group interaction parameters for additional 192 group combinations and typical results. Industrial $\mathcal B$ Engineering Chemistry Research, 53(8):3393-3405, 2014.

- [16] Chorng H. Twu, David Bluck, John R. Cunningham, and John E. Coon. A cubic equation of state with a new alpha function and a new mixing rule. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 69:33–50, 1991.
- [17] Epaminondas Voutsas, Kostis Magoulas, and Dimitrios Tassios. Universal mixing rule for cubic equations of state applicable to symmetric and asymmetric systems: Results with the peng-robinson equation of state. Industrial $\mathcal C$ Engineering Chemistry Research, 43(19):6238– 6246, 2004.
- [18] Roland Wittig, Jürgen Lohmann, and Jürgen Gmehling. Vapor-liquid equilibria by unifac group contribution. 6. revision and extension. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 42(1):183–188, 2003.